

Dear Committee,

I am reaching out to oppose Bill 6355. This bill is a dangerous and frightening over reach of authority. It is being created to circle around existing laws that protect due process. This bill completely ignores the following elements 1. Notice 2. Neutral decision maker 3. Opportunity to make oral presentation 4. Opportunity to present evidence 5. cross examine witnesses and respond to evidence 6. Right to representation by counsel 7. Decision based on record and a reason for the result. Bill 6355 automatically assumes the accused is guilty. Then it is up to the accused to prove their innocence. The wording of the bill is very vague in terms of what evidence is required to be presented by the accuser. This leaves a lot of room for abuse of this red flag law. What is the penalty for falsely accusing a person? why is such little evidence needed? Bill 6355 infringes upon our civil liberties and I oppose it completely.

In *Caetano vs Massachusetts*, The Supreme Court of the United States said that "the Second Amendment extends, prima facie, to all instruments that constitute bearable arms, even those that were not in existence at the time of the founding". That would apply to electronic defense weapons, which are non-lethal. This bill makes so much sense. A "stun gun" might provide a particularly good way for some to defend themselves and should be made legal. I support RHB 6491 an act concerning nonlethal electronic defense weapons.

Sincerely,

Adrian Mycek

Southington Resident